Page **1** of **7**

ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag

& The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

Case No – MA-1 of 2018 Arising out of OA-940-17 RANA PRATAP SINGH <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order. 1	2	of parties when necessary 3

RANA PRATAP SINGH

Vs.

••••

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Case No. <u>MA -</u>	1 of 2018 (Arising out of OA-940 of 2017)	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<u>03</u> 28.02.18	For the Applicant : Mr.G.P.Banerjee (State Respondent in OA) Mrs.S.Agarwal Learned Advocates For the Respondent : Mr.M.N.Roy (Applicant in OA) Mr.G.Halder Learned Advocates	
	For the Public Service Commission : Mr.A.L.Basu Learned Advocate	
	The Respondent No. 1 & 2 of the original	
	application have filed this miscellaneous application	
	praying for modification of the interim order passed by	
	this Tribunal on October 12, 2017 in connection with OA-	
	940 of 2017.	
	It is relevant to quote the interim order passed by the Tribunal on October 12, 2017 which is as follows:	
	"Accordingly there will be an interim order in terms of prayer 11 (i) as prayed for".	
	The prayer contained in paragraph 11 (i) of the original application is as follows:	

RANA PRATAP SINGH

Vs.

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Case No. <u>MA -</u> 1	<u>l of 2018 (Arising out of OA-940 of 2017)</u>	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	" 11 (i) An order of injunction restraining the concerned	
	respondents from giving appointment to the candidates	
	recommended for the appointment to Group-A and	
	Group-C services and Posts of West Bengal Civil	
	Services Examinations, 2016. Such order of injunction is	
	necessary in as much as the respondents may give	
	appointments without taking necessary corrective	
	actions in view of the irregularities and illegalities in the	
	recruitment process with a view to deprive the applicant	
	of his legitimate rights. It is just and convenient that the	
	order of injunction be issued in the interest of justice.	
	Such order is necessary. Unless the order prayed for	
	herein is made, the present application is likely to	
	become infructuous".	
	By virtue of the interim order passed by the	
	Tribunal, the Respondent No. 1 & 2 are not in a position	
	to give appointment to the successful candidates whose	
	names have been recommended by Public Service	
	Commission. Mr.G.P.Banerjee, Learned Counsel for the	
	Respondent No. 1 & 2 submits that the applicant can be	
	appointed only in one post of Group-A services or in one	
	post of Group-C services if the applicant is found to be	

RANA PRATAP SINGH

Vs.

••••

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

			THE STATE OF WE
Casa No	MA_{-1} of 2018 (Aric	$\sin \alpha$ out of $0.1-9/($) of 2017)

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar
	successful, whereas the State Respondents have been	3
	debarred from giving appointment to all successful	
	candidates whose names have been recommended by	
	Public Service Commission. According to Mr.Banerjee,	
	the State Respondents have been highly prejudiced by	
	the interim order passed by the Tribunal. Mr.A.L.Basu,	
	Learned Counsel representing the Respondent - Public	
	Service Commission submits that the Public Service	
	Commission has recommended names of 66 candidates	
	for appointment to Group-A services. He further submits	
	that the names of the candidates for appointment to	
	Goup-C posts have not been recommended as the same	
	is still under process. The breakup of 66 candidates,	
	whose names have been recommended by the Public	
	Service Commission, is as follows: 36 General Category,	
	5 OBC-A, 5 OBC-B, 17 SC and 3 ST. According to	
	Mr.Basu, the successful candidates have been debarred	
	from getting appointment in Group-A posts due to the	
	interim order passed by the Tribunal.	
	Mr.Roy, Learned Counsel representing the	
	applicant of the original application submits that there	
	was no transparency in evaluation of answer scripts of	
	the examination conducted by Public Service	
		1

RANA PRATAP SINGH

Vs.

••••

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature
1	_	of parties when necessar 3
	Commission and as such the interim order passed by the	
	Tribunal is justified and the said interim order should	
	not be modified.	
	Having heard Learned Counsel representing the	
	respective parties and on consideration of the fact that 66	
	successful candidates whose names have been	
	recommended by Public Service Commission for	
	appointment to Group-A posts of the Government of	
	West Bengal, we are of view that the interim order	
	restraining the State Respondents from giving	
	appointment to the successful candidates has definitely	
	caused prejudice not only to the State Respondents, but	
	also to the successful candidates, who have not been	
	impleaded as respondents in the original application.	
	Since the applicant cannot be permitted to make	
	allegation in connection with other candidates who	
	appeared in West Bengal Civil Services Examination of	
	2016, we are inclined to modify the interim order in	
	such a way that one post in Group-A services in general	
	category and one post in Group-C services in general	
	category under Government of West Bengal may be kept	
	vacant till final decision of the original application by the	
	Tribunal.	

RANA PRATAP SINGH

•••• Vs.

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Case No. MA -1 of 2018 (Arising out of OA-940 of 2017)		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	In view of our above findings, the State respondents are directed by the interim order to keep one post in Group-A services in general category and one post in Group-C services in general category under Government of West Bengal vacant till the final decision of the original application. The interim order passed by the Tribunal on October 12, 2017 is modified to the above extent. Miscellaneous application No. 1 of 2018 is, thus, disposed of. Let a plain copy of this order be supplied to Learned Counsel representing the respective parties. (S.K.DAS) MEMBER(A) (R.K.BAG) MEMBER (J)	
BLR		

RANA PRATAP SINGH

Vs.

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

••••

Case No. MA -1 of 2018 (Arising out of OA-940 of 2017)

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order.	2	of parties when necessar
1		3