# ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present ---

OA 1221/2010.
Basanti Sen –Vs- State of W. P. & O...

0 111	Basanti Sen –Vs- State of W.B. & Ors.	
Serial No. ar Date of orde	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
<u>03</u> 22.12.11	<u>OA 903/2011</u>	
	For the Applicant: Ms. P.Chatterjee, Ld. Adv.	
	For the Respondent : Mrs. S.Agarwal, Ld. Adv.	
	With	
	OA 491/2009.	
	For the Petitioner: Ms. P.Chatterjee, Ld. Adv.	
	For the State Respondent : Mr. A.K.Sen Gupta, Departmental Representative.  With	
	<u>OA 1275/2009.</u>	
	For the Petitioner: Mr. G.P.Banerjee, Ld. Adv.	
	For the State Respondent: Mr. A.K.Sen Gupta, Departmental Representative.	
	<u>With</u> <u>OA 1276/2009.</u>	
	For the Petitioner: Mr. G.P.Banerjee, Ld. Adv. For the State Respondent: Mr. A.K.Sen Gupta,	
	Departmental Representative(2).	

### ORDER SHEET - (Continuation)

Oz Case No.	A 1221/2010.	nti Sen. licant) Vs. f W.B. & Ors.
Serial No. and	(Respo	ndents)
Date of order	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar
	(2).  With OA 1277/2009.  For the Petitioner: Mr. G.P.Banerjee, Ld. Adv.	
	For the State Respondent: Mr. A.K. Sen Gupta, Departmental Representative.  With OA 172/2010.	
	For the Petitioner: Mr. G.P.Banerjee, Ld. Adv.  For the State Respondent: Mr. A.K.Sen Gupta,  Departmental Representative.  With OA 1221/2010.	
	For the Petitioner: None. For the State Respondent: Mrs. P.Saha, Ld. Adv.	
	With OA 599/2011.  For the Applicant: Mr. M.N.Roy, Mr. G.Halder, Ld. Advs.	
	For the State Respondent: Mr. S.K.Mondal, Ld. Adv(3).	

Form No.	ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)	Page No.
O. Case No.	Basanti (Applica Vs	ant)
Cuse 140	State of V	W.B. & Ors.
Serial No. and Date of order 1	order of the Impunal	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	(3). Mr. G.P.Banerjee has filed separate rejoinder in	
	OA 1275/2009, OA 1276/2009, OA 1277/2009 and also in OA 172/2010. Let them be kept on record.	
	All the above mentioned applications eight in number have been taken up together for hearing and order with consent of Ld. Advocate of respective parties and	
	also with consent of Departmental Representative, since an identical question of law is involved in all these applications.	
	The undisputed fact is that the applicants of all the applications have been engaged as Part Time Casual	
	Sweeper-cum-Water Career in different establishments of the State Governments and they have been paid a fixed	
	sum, although the sum is not uniform in case of all the applicants.  All the applicants approached the authority for enhancement of their remuneration following the Circular	
	and remaind attorn to nowing the Circular	

of 28th July, 1999 and Circular dated 20.5.2009 with arrear

payment.

### ORDER SHEET - (Continuation)

Form No.		
	Basanti (Applica Vs.	
0 37	그 보이 되어 하는 경기는 생각을 되었다. 이 경우를 받는 것 같아요. 그리고 있다.	V.B. & Ors.
	(Responde	
Serial No. an Date of order	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	(4).	3
	As the different authorities under whom the	
	applicants have been working did not consider such prayer	
	for enhancement of remuneration and also claim of arrear	
	payment, the applicants have approached this Tribunal.	
	It appears from the averments of all the	
	applications that the applicants also rely on a judgement of	
	this Tribunal, where this Tribunal held that following the	
	circular of 1999, the remuneration would be Rs. 700/- and	
	following the Circular of 2009, the remuneration would be	
	Rs. 2000/.	
	It appears that in the case of Abdul Wahab	
	Mondal (OA 599/2011), the authority was directed to	
	consider the grievance of the petitioner in the matter of	
	enhancement of remuneration and that authority held that	
	the petitioner can not get enhanced remuneration as he	
	does not belong to Group D category, although engaged on	
	casual basis.	
	Mr. G.P.Banerjee, Ms. P.Chatterjee and Mr.	w K
	M.N.Roy, representing different applicants submit that	
	when this Tribunal had the occasion to examine the issue	
	(5).	

#### ORDER SHEET - (Continuation)

Form No.	Communion)	
	Basanti (Applica	nt)
	Vs. 1221/2010.	
Case No	State of V	V.B. & Ors.
Coriol No	(Responde	nts)
Serial No. and Date of order.	order of the Infoundi	Office action with date and dated signature
1	2	of parties when necessary
	(5).	3
	and held that following the circular of 1999, the	
	remuneration should be Rs. 700/- per month and after	
	2009, it would be Rs. 2000/- per month and when no	
	appeal was preferred against that decision of the Tribunal,	
	now, the authorities can not take a different stand and that	
	judgement of the Tribunal should be the only guiding	
	factor in considering the claim of the petitioner and all the	
	parties should be accordingly directed to pay arrear	
	remuneration w.e.f. 1999 and there after w.e.f. 2009 as per	
	the relevant Circular of 1999 and 2009.	
	Mr. A.K.Sen Gupta, Departmental	
	Representative, Mrs. P.Saha, the Ld. Advocate and Mr.	
	S.K.Mondal, Ld. Advocate representing the authorities in	
	connection with these applications have taken a different	
	view. All of them submit that in the Circular of 1999 and	
	also in the Circular of 2009, there was no clear stipulation	
	indicating that fixed amount of Rs. 700/ is payable	
	following the Circulars of 1999 or fixed amount of Rs.	
	2000/- is payable following the circular of 2009. These Ld.	
	Advocates along with the Departmental	

### ORDER SHEET - (Continuation)

Form No.	ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)	
O.A.	Basanti (Applica Vs.	int)
		V.B. & Ors.
	(Responde	
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	(6).	
	Representative contend that from a clear reading of both	
	the Circular of 1999 and 2009, it would appear that the	
	Finance Department fixed a ceiling giving flexibility to	
	different local authority to fix the exact amount according	
	to the hour of engagement and quantum of work assigned	
	to each of the employee paid out of contingency fund.	
	As there is divergent view regarding the	
	judgement delivered by this Tribunal, it was decided with	
	consent of the parties that the matter should be referred to	
	a larger Bench and this is the occasion for constitution of	
	this Special Bench, which is now taking up the matter for	
	final consideration.	
	On hearing the Ld. Advocate of respective	
	parties, we notice that the Government was well aware of	
	the decision of this Tribunal and Govt. was well aware of	
	the fact that being encouraged by the decision of this	
	Tribunal already indicated, there was a confusion	
	regarding proper interpretation of the Circular of 2009 and	
	accordingly, to remove all doubts and disputes regarding	
	interpretation of the Circular of 2009, rejoinder was issued	
	The chedial of 2005, rejoinder was issued	

Contd

## ORDER SHEET - (Continuation)

Form No.	ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)	3-1,0
0 17	Basanti (Applica Vs. State of V	ant)
Serial No. and	(Responde	*****
Date of order.	with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar
	by the Finance Department by its Memo No. 8662-F dated	
	07 <sup>th</sup> September, 2009 clarifying that the payment to be made as per Circular dated 28 <sup>th</sup> May, 2009 shall depend on	
	certain contingencies and these contingencies have been explained in that Finance Memo of 07 <sup>th</sup> September, 2009	
1	and the main spirit of that rejoinder has been to fix the amount according to the duty performed by the individual	
	From the Circular of 07 <sup>th</sup> September, 2009, it is ound that person doing duties less than one hour per day	
d d	hall not be paid less than Rs. 500/- per month, for doing uties for more than one hour but less than two hours per	
da R	s. 1000/-, for doing duties more than two hours but less	
Rs	an three hours per day payment would be more than s.1000/- but not more than Rs.1500/- per month and	
pa	mally, for doing duties more than three hours per day, the yment would be more than Rs.1500/- but not more than	
Rs	.2000/- per month.  It is accepted position of law that if there is no	
	(8).	

Form No.	ORDER SHEET - (Continuation)	
***************************************	Basanti	
	(Applica $V_{\mathcal{S}}$	
Case No	A 1221/2010.	
Cuse 110	State of V	W.B. & Ors.
Serial No. and	(Responde	
Date of order.		Office action with date and dated signature
1	2	of parties when necessary
• 11	(8).	3
	clear guideline regarding any circular of the State	•
	Government, it is always open to the legal forum for	
	giving proper interpretation to that Circular leaving no	
	grey area for creating further confusion and we hold that in	
	absence of any subsequent clarification as has been done	
	by the memo of 07 <sup>th</sup> September, 2009, this Tribunal	
	interpreted the parent circular dated 20th May, 2009 and	
	the Circular of 1999 and interpreted for payment of the	
	ceiling amount of Rs.2000/- and Rs.700/- respectively.	
	But, now with the clarification coming from the Finance	
	Department, which is the appropriate authority for making	
	such clarification, we hold that the earlier judgement of the	
	Tribunal should be interpreted in the light of the	
	memorandum of 07 <sup>th</sup> September, 2009 only.	
	Accordingly, after hearing the respective Ld.	
	Advocates and also the departmental representative and	
	having regard to the Finance Memo dated 07th September,	
	2009, we dispose of all these eight applications by	
	directing the respective authority to interpret the circular	
	of 1999 and 2009 in the light of Finance Memorandum of	

### ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

Form No.	ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)	
OA.	Basanti (Applica <i>Vs.</i> 1221/2010.	ant)
Case No		W.B. & Ors.
	(Responde	ente)
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	(9).	
	07 <sup>th</sup> September, 2009 and to give appropriate remuneration	
	w.e.f. 1999 proportionately without violating the spirit of	
	Finance Memorandum dated 07 <sup>th</sup> September, 2009.	
	Mr. Banerjee submits that there is a separate	
	circular issued from the Land and Land Reforms	
	Department indicating the different offices and there after	
	fixing the remuneration of Part time employees paid out of	
	Contingencies Fund and there should be a direction	
	regarding that Circular, so that there may not be any scope	
	of further confusion as regards the employees attached to	
	Land and Land Reforms Department.	
	On hearing the Ld. Advocates of all the	
	respective parties, we observe that any circular issued by	
	any authority with the concurrence of Finance shall not	
	over ride the clarification of Finance clarification given in	
	Memorandum dated 07 <sup>th</sup> September, 2009 and every	
	authority having any special circular with concurrence of	
	the Finance must try to reconcile their own circular with	
	that of Finance Memo dated 07 <sup>th</sup> September, 2009.	
	We also direct all the authorities to pay arrear	
	(10).	

### ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

Form No.			
1			
		Basanti S	Sen
		(Applica	
		$V_{S}$ .	
OA	1221/2010.		
Case No		State of V	V.B. & Ors.
		State of v	v.b. & OIS.
		(Responde	nts)
Serial No. and	Order of the Trib	punal	Office action with date
Date of order,	with signatur		and dated signature
1	2		of parties when necessary
	1		3
	(10).		
	remuneration if any in the	1:-1-4 - 0	
	remuneration, if any, in the	light of our present	
	observation starting from 1999	9 and such arrear	
	remuneration must be paid within a	period of four months	
	from communication of this order.		
	All the applications sta	and disposed of.	
	Plain copy to all the sid		
	t3am	politican	
61	(A.K.Basu) (T.K.Giri).	(A.K.Patnaik)	
Skg.	Chairman. Member(J).	Member(A)	
	Wiemoci(s).	Wichidel(A)	